

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7897 of 2012

Date of Decision: 20.1.2015

Monika Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present:None for the petitioner

Mr.Rajiv Prashad, Addl.A.G., Punjab

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Mr.Rajiv Prashad, Addl.A.G., Punjab points out that the matter is covered by the order dated 30th September, 2014 passed by this Court in CWP No.7676 of 2012 and other connected matters in which the following order was passed:-

“This order disposes of CWP No.7676 of 2012 titled Gurdeep Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others, CWP No.7658 of 2012 titled Balkar Chand and others vs. State of Punjab and others, CWP No.7659 of 2012 titled Karamjit Kaur vs. State of Punjab and others, CWP No.12731 of 2012 titled Rupinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others, CWP No.7829 of 2012 titled Harbhinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others & CWP No.8939 of 2012 titled Aseem Dhuria and others vs. State of Punjab and others.

These petitions have been vehemently opposed by Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, learned Senior counsel and Mr. R.D. Bawa, learned counsel on the ground that the appointment under the advertisement dated April 19, 2012 was for the period of two years and by efflux of time, the petitions have been rendered infructuous as well as academic.

This position could not be seriously disputed by Mr. Vikas Chatrath, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners in CWP No.7676 of 2012 specially when looking at his prayer in para.19(iv) where a consequential request was made for declaration that the subsequent appointments to be made in April 2012 are bad.

I find merit in the submission of the respondents that these petitions have indeed been rendered academic and no useful purpose will be served to spend any more time on them. Dismissed as infructuous. Moreover, the issues arising in the petitions have been rendered of academic interest with respect to the advertisement dated April 19, 2012 under which appointments were offered for a fixed tenure of two years.”

On examining the prayer made in this petition, the submission of Mr.Prashad is accepted. This petition has become infructuous and is disposed of as such.

20.1.2015
MFK

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE