

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at  
Chandigarh.**

**CWP No. 25109 of 2015  
Date of decision : 07.12.2015**

**NEHA SARDANA**

**Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**PEC UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND ORS.**

**Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL.**

**Present:- Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate,  
for respondents No. 1 to 3 along with  
Mr. J.D. Pathak, Assistant Registrar,  
PEC University of Technology.**

**LISA GILL, J. (Oral)**

Written statement on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 has been filed in court today with a copy thereof to learned counsel for the petitioner. Same is taken on record subject to just exceptions.

Pursuant to order dated 02.12.2015, petitioner was permitted to take written test (objective/subjective) on 03.12.2015 and participate in the presentation-cum-interview on 04.12.2015.

Mr. J.D. Pathak, Assistant Registrar, PEC University of Technology is present in court alongwith result in sealed cover. Result has been opened in court today. Petitioner has

obtained total of 26.81 out of 100 marks, detail of which is as under:-

| Sr. No. | Name             | Subjective Type Test | Seminar Presentation | Academic Record | Relevant Experience in academics / research / industry with Engineering applications (one mark for each year) | Interview Performance | Total | Remarks |
|---------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|---------|
|         |                  | (60)                 | (10)                 | (05)            | (05)                                                                                                          | (20)                  | (100) |         |
| 1.      | Ms. Neha Sardana | 10                   | 4.83                 | 04              | 0.98                                                                                                          | 07                    | 26.81 | -       |

Learned counsel for the respondents on instructions submits that petitioner has not qualified.

In this view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner without addressing on the merits of the case, seeks to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to challenge the selection/criterion if so advised.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

Original mark-sheet as well as the result of the petitioner is handed back to learned counsel for the respondents.

(LISA GILL)  
JUDGE

December 07, 2015.  
Anoop