

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 2576 of 2014

Date of decision: November 03,2015

Gurpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: - Ms. Anju Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Neeraj Yadav, AAG, Punjab
Mr. Neeraj Madan, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

By filing the instant writ petition, the petitioner has sought direction to respondents No.2 to 4 to conduct enquiry into the complaints made by him against Rukman Devi-respondent No.5.

In the written statement filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 , it has been stated that an enquiry was conducted into the allegations, wherein respondent No.5 was found guilty. Consequently, she was terminated from the post of Anganwari Worker of village Chak Jandawala, Tehsil Jalalabad, District Fazilka.

Learned counsel for respondent No.5 states that he will challenge the termination order in accordance with law.

In view of the above, this writ petition has become
infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

3.11.2015
dinesh