

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.25039 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.12.2015

Rampal & Ors.

... Petitioners

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for the petitioners

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

(1) Pursuant to the directions issued by this Court, the respondent-authorities have considered the petitioners' objections regarding ward-bandi of their village and turned down those objections by way of a reasoned order.

(2) We have gone through the self-speaking order passed by the Sub Divisional Officer (C), Shahabad and see no reason to travel into the series of disputed facts highlighted by the petitioners in support of their demand for undertaking fresh exercise of ward-bandi. We are afraid such like issues do not fall within the ambit of writ jurisdiction. The petitioners, if aggrieved, may approach the District Election Officer or any other higher authorities in the State, if so advised.

(3) Disposed of.

(Surya Kant)

Judge

0112.2015

vishal shonkar

(P.B. Bajanthri)

Judge