

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.25026 of 2015
Date of Decision: 01.12.2015

Balraj Singh

. . . .Petitioner

Versus

The Financial Commissioner (Revenue), Punjab and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Harbhagwan, Advocate, for
Mr.Baltej Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This petition is filed to challenge the order passed by the Revenue Courts appointing respondent No.4 as Lambardar of the Village. The name of respondent No.4 was recommended by both the recommending authorities to the Collector, who appointed him as Lambardar of the village after considering the *inter se* merit of all the three candidates. The said order was upheld by the Commissioner and the Financial Commissioner respectively in appeal and revision.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has only argued that respondent No.4 has political connections, however, he has failed to show any provision of law which debars such kind of person to compete for the post of Lambardar.

It is now well settled that choice of the Collector has been respected until and unless there is any perversity in his order.

I do not find any perversity nor anything has been shown to the Court during the Court of hearing, therefore, I do not find any merit in the present case and the same is thus dismissed.

01.12.2015

Vivek

VIVEK PAHWA
2015.12.02 15:21
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE