

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 25025 of 2015
Date of decision:- 01.12.2015

Shobh Nath Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

The Estate Officer, U.T., Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Ms. Savita Saxena, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

S.J. VAZIFDAR, A.C.J. (ORAL)

The order of the Permanent Lok Adalat cannot be faulted as the petitioner did not produce any evidence from the date on which he was released on bail i.e. in the year 2004 till the date he was again taken into custody i.e. in the year 2008.

2. Ms. Savita Saxena, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, however, points out that on account of the petitioner having been in custody he was unable to produce certain documents before the Permanent Lok Adalat on both occasions.

3. In that event, the petitioner must first make a fresh application before the Chandigarh Administration to have his case re-considered in the light of the fresh evidence. This course has also been adopted in an order and judgement dated 28.07.2014 passed in CWP No. 14704 of 2014 titled as *Naresh and another Vs Chandigarh Administration and others*.

4. The petition is, therefore, disposed of in the same terms as in the order and judgement dated 28.07.2014 (Annexure P-6).

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

01.12.2015

Amodh

AMODH SHARMA
2015.12.01 16:51
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
chandigarh