

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CM No.14494 of 2015 in/and

CWP No.28252 of 2013(O&M)

Date of decision: 5.11.2015

M/s Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd. and othersPetitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAJ RAHUL GARG

Present: None for the petitioners.

Ms. Palika Monga, D.A.G. Haryana, for respondents.

HEMANT GUPTA, J.

The present is one of the cases in which case arguments were heard on 28.10.2015 when Mr. Karanvir Singh Khehar, Advocate, put in appearance on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioners. No arguments were addressed on behalf of the petitioners on the said date and no request for pass over was made. Since the issue raised was identical in many other cases which were taken up for hearing, the orders in the present case was reserved.

However, after the arguments were raised, learned counsel for the petitioners appeared and sought adjournment to argue the matter. Learned counsel for the petitioners was permitted to argue the matter next day but counsel for the petitioners did not appear for arguments.

But before the orders could be pronounced, the present application has been filed. Even in the present application, learned counsel for the petitioners has not put in appearance even at the time of first call or at the end of the list when the application was taken up for hearing. Therefore, we do not find any merit in the application, the same is dismissed.

Since the issue raised in the present case is identical with the issue raised in CWP No.16085 of 2012 titled M/s Kataria Constructions Pvt. Ltd. v. State of Haryana and others, the present writ petition is disposed of in the same terms as in M/s Kataria Constructions Pvt Ltd.'s case (supra).

(HEMANT GUPTA)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 5, 2015
'D. Gulati'

(RAJ RAHUL GARG)
JUDGE