

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 24998 of 2015
Date of decision:- 01.12.2015

Rajesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Food Corporation of India (Punjab) and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. A.B.S. Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

S.J. VAZIFDAR, A.C.J. (ORAL)

The petitioner apprehends that respondent No. 1 may not consider his bid as the fee for the tender form of ₹ 575/- was not received by respondent No. 1.

2. There is no rejection of the petitioner's bid. The petitioner only seeks an order directing respondent No. 1 to consider his representation to have his bid entertained and not rejected for the amount having not been remitted to respondent No. 1 due to no fault of his. Respondent No. 2 – the bank has by a certificate dated 26.11.2015 (Annexure P-4) admitted that the fault was on the part of respondent No. 2 and not the petitioner.

3. The petition is, therefore, disposed of by directing respondent No. 1 to consider the petitioner's above representation especially in the light of the certificate issued by respondent No. 2 dated 26.11.2015 (Annexure P-4).

4. In the event of respondent No. 1 rejecting the petitioner's bid for want of the fee for the tender form of ₹ 575/-, the petitioner is at liberty to file a fresh writ petition.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

01.12.2015

Amodh