

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2495 of 2015

Date of Decision:26/03/2015

Dalbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State Bank of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Ms. Jigyasa Tanwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that against the punishment order meted out to the petitioner, he has submitted a statutory appeal which is still pending.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, she would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the statutory appeal filed by the petitioner. I find the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable.

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case as well as on the issue of limitation in filing of the above referred appeal, I dispose of the writ petition with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the statutory appeal filed by the petitioner, by passing a speaking order and after hearing the petitioner, preferably within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that a copy of the final decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

**26.03.2015
rajeev**