

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.24895 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision:30.11 2015**

Hindu College, Dhab Khatika, Amritsar ... Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, ... Respondents
Amritsar & anr.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Raj Karan Singh Verka, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

No ground is made out for interference either with the ex parte award or the order rejecting the application for setting aside the ex parte award. The Labour Court had recorded that for 6 years, the management was represented by its authorized representative and complained that on one date in the year 2013, he did not appear and thereafter, till further one year they pretend not to know anything about the proceedings which culminated in the ex parte award against the management. There is no flaw of reasoning in the order rejecting the application for setting aside ex parte award and merely on the principle of equity or in the supervisory jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India the orders cannot be impugned.

Dismissed.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

30.11.2015
monika