

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-24880-2015

Date of Decision: 30.11.2015

A.K. Srivastva

---Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

-----Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that vide order dated 08.05.2015 passed in CWP No.10143 of 2000, the enquiry report and the proceedings emanating therefrom had been specifically set aside and accordingly, the new enquiry had to be conducted wherein the petitioner was required to be given opportunity to adduce evidence. This has not been done and the impugned order has been passed relying on the earlier enquiry report. He further contends that the reply filed by the petitioner has not been duly considered while passing the impugned order.

Accordingly, he prays that the writ petition may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to seek clarification of order passed in CWP No.10143 of 2000.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

**(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge**

30.11.2015

Atul