

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 7619 of 2012(O&M)

Date of decision : 07.05.2015

Arun Kathuria

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Peeush Gagneja , Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms.Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl.AG, Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

CM No. 5897 of 2015

CM allowed. Annexures P-7 and P-8 taken on record.

CWP No.7619 of 2012

The petitioner had sought information from the office of respondent No.2-DPI(Secondary Education), Punjab along with details as to how many candidates were there who had done their B.Ed. from Kota University. Ultimately the State Information Commission accepted the plea of respondent No.2 that there was no provision in their recruitment cell to maintain record regarding the Universities from which various persons had passed their B.Ed. On this basis the

Commission rejected the claim of the petitioner.

The sole ground raised in this petition was that this stand was not believable. I put it to learned counsel whether there was any rule or office procedure which mandated that the record relating to the institution/s from which any successful candidate had passed his eligibility examination had to be kept, learned counsel has fairly stated that there is no such rule or office procedure.

In the circumstances no fault can be found with the impounded order.

Petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 07, 2015
sunita