

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.24816 of 2015

Date of decision: November 30, 2015

Gurmukh Singh

..... Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. JEYAPPAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. R.N. Ojha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

M. JEYAPPAUL, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner is that the disciplinary proceedings was not conducted by the Appointing Authority in a fair manner.

We went through the entire record. We are of the considered view that the writ petition merits no consideration, as it is found, that full opportunity was offered to the writ petitioner, but he failed to participate in the inquiry proceedings. The Appointing Authority had passed the impugned order only after giving personal hearing to the writ petitioner.

Therefore, the writ petition stands dismissed.

**(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE**

**(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

November 30, 2015
Dinesh Bansal