

Sahil Mahajan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.Nilesh Bhardwaj, DAG, Punjab.

ARUN PALLI, J.(oral)

On April 21, 2015, this court had passed the following order:-

“Contends that since the then learned counsel for the petitioner i.e. Mr.A.D.S.Ghuman, Advocate has joined judicial service, the matter be adjourned for four weeks to seek requisite instructions and argue the matter.

Adjourned to 19.05.2015.”

Today, nobody has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner to pursue the matter. That being so, the court is choice-less but to dismiss the petition for non-prosecution.

Ordered accordingly.

May 19, 2015
seema

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE