

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P. No. 25509 of 2014
Date of Decision: January 19, 2015**

M/s Shiv Rice Mills

... Petitioner

Versus

Deputy Commissioner, Patiala and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. Mukand Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for quashing rapat no. 569 dated 16.07.2014 (Annexure P/11) as well as wrong remarks made in the revenue record and for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the property of the petitioner from the revenue record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is aggrieved against the rapat No. 569 dated 16.07.2014 (Annexure P/11) made by the Patwari in the roznamcha. Learned counsel further states that this entry is wrongly made. There is no attachment order issued by any competent Court. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has already moved a legal notice dated 06.09.2014 (Annexure P/15), but no

order has been passed thereon.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper-book, however, without commenting on merits of the case and without giving notice to the respondents, instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 1 – Deputy Commissioner, Patiala to look into the grievance of the petitioner specifically made in the legal notice (Annexure P/15) and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and communicate the decision taken on the legal notice to the petitioner.

January 19, 2015
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh]
Judge