
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Misc. No.16416 of 2015 in/and
Civil Writ Petition No.24768 of 2015
Date of decision: 22.12.2015

Mohan Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. R.K.Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Civil Misc. No.16416 of 2015

Prayer made in the present Civil Misc. Application for placing on record complete copy of memo dated 22.6.2015 (Annexure P/19) is allowed. The same is taken on record.

Office to tag the same at appropriate place in the file.

The Civil Misc. Application stands disposed of accordingly.

Civil Writ Petition No.24768 of 2015

The petitioner seeks direction to grant the benefit in terms of the decision of this Court in Civil Writ Petition No.3931 of 1992-Baldev Raj Mittal and others Vs. State of Punjab and others decided on 15.4.2009 (Annexure P/7) which was upheld in LPA No.504 of 2012-State of Punjab and others Vs. Baldev Raj Mittal and others decided on 22.5.2014 (Annexure P/16). The relief of J.S.T. Grade of ₹ 110-250/- and revised grade from time to time on the basis of higher qualification is sought in view of memo dated 22.6.2015 (Annexure P/19) wherein similarly situated teachers working in aided schools were granted the said benefit with all consequential benefits regarding refixation of pay, pensionary benefits and

payment of arrears etc. along with interest.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner retired on 30.6.1998 and has filed representation dated 12.2.2014 (Annexure P/17) with the respondent no.2 taking the plea that the higher qualification of inter/FA was acquired on 22.6.1964 prior to the cut off date i.e.19.2.1979. The petitioner was thus entitled for the relief granted in Baldev Raj Mittal's case (supra). It is further submitted that in view of memo (Annexure P/19) the State itself has taken a decision on 8.6.2015 which has been circulated on 22.6.2015 that benefit is to be granted to similarly situated persons in view of instructions issued in light of Satbir Singh's case.

Counsel for the petitioner submits he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent no.2 to decide the said representation dated 12.2.2014 (Annexure P/17) within some time frame.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Anshul Jain, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents. Copy of the writ petition has been supplied to him.

Keeping in view the limited relief sought by the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that there is no requirement of receiving any reply on behalf of the State.

Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2 to take into consideration the said representation dated 12.2.2014 (Annexure P/17) and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The arrears with all consequential benefits be paid within two thereafter in case of favourable consideration. In case any adverse order

is to be passed then a reasoned order be passed by the said respondent

The present writ petition is disposed off with the aforesaid directions.

December 22, 2015
Pka

(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)
Judge