

133
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.24627 of 2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: November 26, 2015

Dr.Satyapal Singh Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: - Mr.Sandeep Goyat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The petitioner was appointed as Agriculture Development Officer on 11.8.1994 and after about 16 years of service as such, he joined as District Extension Specialist, Department of Entomology, CCSHAU, Hisar through proper channel on 2.6.2010. The petitioner was considered eligible for counting his past service towards old non-contributory pension scheme vide memo dated 17.7.2012 and, thereafter, the petitioner applied for transfer of his GPF balance from the office of Accountant General, Haryana to the office of Comptroller, CCSHAU, Hisar vide letter dated 3.9.2012. Respondent No.3 while transferring the GPF balance of the petitioner to the Comptroller on 9.11.2012, calculated interest only upto May, 2010.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the GPF amount was required to be transferred with interest calculated upto November,

2012. For this, he has placed reliance on Rule 12.5 (a) & (b) of the Haryana General Provident Fund Rules, 2006, as per which, an administrative department can pay the interest upto two years after fully satisfying that the delay was occasioned by the circumstances beyond the control of subscriber or the person to whom such payment was to be made.

The petitioner has been representing to the respondents to pay the interest on the GPF amount upto November, 2012, but without success. Lastly, he made such representation on 27.8.2014 (Annexure P-3), but no decision has been taken thereon.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states, that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied, if directions are issued to respondent No.4 to take a decision on the aforesaid representation.

In view of above, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as contained in the representation dated 27.8.2014 (Annexure P-3) by passing a speaking order expeditiously, preferably, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

November 26, 2015

**(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE**

gian