

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.2461 of 2015

Date of decision: 12.02.2015

Manish Arora and others

... Petitioners

versus

Punjab State Bus Stand Management Company (PUNBUS), and
another.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Pandit, Advocate,
and Mr. Balbir Kumar Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

The intervention sought for is not necessary at all. The counsel would refer to an earlier order passed in another batch of persons through a writ petition and this court has granted stay. I am of the view that the order obtained by the court is needlessly taken advantage of as a ground for each person to approach this court. If there is civil court order directing the defendant against disturbing plaintiff's possession, that protection ought to avail in every possible way against any conduct of the respondent which can give room for such apprehension for dispossession. Attempt to lease or put up the properties for auction has to be construed as an attempt to dispossess and the petitioners will be at liberty to approach the civil court where they have already filed a suit to seek appropriate directions.

They are also at liberty to cite the writ petition filed by some other persons who are plaintiffs before the civil court where this court has ordered interim stay. The civil court will examine any issue of disobedience and pass appropriate orders. A mindless replication of writ petitions through persons coming on a day-to-day basis must be quelled and hence the petition is disposed of with the above observations.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

12.02.2015
sanjeev