

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

205

CWP No.7312 of 2012
Date of Decision: 10th March, 2015.

Piara Singh(Retd.)

....Petitioner

Versus

Pepsu Road Transport Corporation and another

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Daya Chaudhary

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that against the impugned order dated 31.01.2008(Annexure P-11), an appeal was filed by the petitioner which is still pending where as learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that appeal has already been decided but the same has not been communicated to the petitioner.

In view of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner as well as respondents, it is observed that in case the appeal is still pending, the same be decided within a period of 04 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order after giving an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner. Disposed of.

10.03.2015
sonia g.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE