

CWP-2521-2014

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2521-2014

Date of Decision:30.10.2015

Surinder Kumar Sharma (Painter)

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Chandigarh Administration and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar Lambra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S.Toor, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

Mr. S.S.Chandumajra, Addl. A. G. Punjab.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents for deploying a conveyance (escort vehicle) like Gypsy in place of motor-cycle as 4 security personnels out of 9 are deployed with one Motor-cycle in the personal security of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 4 has shown the instructions which show that case of the petitioner falls under the 'Y' Category Security and he states that the petitioner is serving in Punjab

CWP-2521-2014

Police and as per the 'Y' category, adequate security is being provided to the petitioner.

Para No.6 of reply filed by respondent Nos.1 to 4 reads as under:

“6. That the averments made in para 06 are incorrect. 02 police personnel are deployed during night time for the motor-cycle escort duty. A total 09 security personnel alongwith 01 motorcycle have been provided to the petitioner for security purpose. Out of these, 09 personnel, 05 police personnel are deployed for PSO Duty round the clock and rest of 04 police personnel are carrying out their duties in two shifts i.e. two in a day and two in night shift on the motor-cycle. Thus, at any time, the two escort personnel can easily be adjusted on one motor-cycle.”

In view of para no.6 of reply, it is clear that adequate security has been provided to the petitioner. However, if the petitioner feels that more security is needed in view of any specific threat perception, since the petitioner is employee of the Punjab Govt., he can approach the State Govt. which shall examine any threat perception to the petitioner, if really there is any threat perception, further security be provided to the petitioner. If the petitioner makes such a request, the same shall be considered sympathetically and expeditiously.

Disposed of.

30.10.2015
parveen kumar

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge