

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 24478 of 2015
Date of decision: November 30, 2015

Vijay Singh Dahiya ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and ors. ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

■■■■

Present: - Mr. Sandeep Parkash Chahar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

—

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The services of the petitioner, who was working as Shift Attendant in the respondent department, were terminated vide order dated 7.11.2012 (Annexure P-5).

Feeling aggrieved, the petitioner preferred an appeal before the Executive Engineer (Op. Divn.), Beri. The said appeal was dismissed vide order dated 29.01.2014 (Annexure P-9).

Dis-satisfied with the order aforesaid, the petitioner has preferred a revision dated 10.12.2014 (Annexure P-10) under Regulation 14 of the Haryana State Electricity Board Employees (Punishment and Appeal) Regulations, 1990 before the Superintending Engineer (OP), Circle Jhajjar, UHBVNL, Jhajjar -respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that despite a lapse of more than eleven months, no decision has been taken on the revision petition filed by the petitioner. He submits that he would be satisfied if a time bound direction is given to the respondents to take a decision on the revision petition.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the revision petition expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

30.11.2015
dinesh