

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No. 27707 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision : March 26, 2015

Dr. Kamlesh Dhull and another,

..... Petitioners

v.

M.D University, Rohtak and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. BN Gupta, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. **Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioners have challenged the action of the respondent-University in not approving their selections. In the letter denying the approval, the two grounds taken by the respondent/University were that it was not shown that the University/ies from which the petitioners obtained their PHD degrees were certified as 'A' Grade by the NAAC, and the second plea taken was that the petitioners did not have necessary qualification on the last date mentioned in the advertisement.

Today, counsel for the respondents states that the respondent-University would not insist on the requirement of 'A' Grade University but

till date the petitioners have not submitted the proofs that they had necessary qualification on the last date and as such this writ petition is premature. He, however, states that if the petitioners submit their original documents, the respondent-University will consider the same without insisting on 'A' Gradation.

Counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners have already submitted the required documents but they would have no objection in submitting the same again.

Let the petitioners now submit their required documents within one month and thereafter, the respondent-University take a decision on the approval of their selections, as per law, within two months thereafter. This writ petition stands disposed of.

March 26, 2015
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE