

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

--

C.W.P. No. 27576 of 2013
Date of decision: 24.07.2015

Vijender Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Daya Chaudhary

-.-

Present: Mr. J.S. Duhan, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

-.-

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

On the last date of hearing, the case was adjourned on the request made by learned counsel for the petitioner to show that some other person has been appointed in place of the petitioner, whereas, as per stand of learned State counsel no other employee was appointed in place of the petitioner on contract basis.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to show any name of the employee, who has been appointed on contract basis in place of the petitioner.

There is no merit in the contention raised by learned counsel for the petitioner and the present petition being devoid of any merit is dismissed.

July 24, 2015
pooja

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**