

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

250

CWP No.25033 of 2014

Date of Decision:11.05.2015

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**Present: Mr.Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Mr.Rakesh Verma, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

ARUN PALLI, J.(oral)

A writ in the nature of certiorari is prayed for, so as to quash the order dated 30.11.2014(Annexure P/2) passed by respondent No.2, vide which, the petitioner was transferred from RSD & SPK Dam Project, Shahpurkandi and placed at the disposal of Bhakra Beas Management Board(BBMB).

The precise stand set-out in paragraph 4 of the reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4, reveals that the designation of the petitioner has since been changed by the Chief Engineer/RSDC, vide order dated 30.01.2015(Annexure R-1), from Driver to Tractor Driver. Thus, the petitioner was not surplus in the category of Tractor Driver. And that being so, he will not be transferred out of the Project. Accordingly, the petition has become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly concedes this position. However, he maintains that he is aggrieved even qua the order dated 30.01.2015(Annexure R-1) and would resort to appropriate remedies to redress his grievances.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

May 11, 2015
seema

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE