

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

105

**Civil Writ Petition No.25018 of 2014
Date of Decision:21.07.2015**

Avneet Kaur

....petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.Shashi Kant Gupta, Advocate for
Mr.L.M.Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioner

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

On December 8, 2014, this Court had passed the following order:

States that notional benefits have not been given to her despite an earlier statement made by the respondents.

On perusal of the appointment letter of the petitioner it transpires that she is working on contractual basis and there are other similarly situated employees as well. In this situation the petitioner would have to explain what are notional benefits which she is entitled to.

Adjourned to 20.4.2015.

Thereafter, the matter was adjourned twice, so as to enable the counsel for the petitioner to file a specific affidavit in terms of the order dated 08.12.2014. The needful has not been done.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to withdraw this petition, with liberty to file a fresh, as he has not been able to lay his hands upon the requisite material to substantiate his claim.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as prayed for.

21.07.2015

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE