

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.27509 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision:27.02.2015

Ajit Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

Present: Mr. R.K.Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Monica Chhibber Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mahesh Grover, J. (Oral)

The service dues of the petitioner have since been released. The petitioner would claim interest on account of delayed payment, which the respondents dispute by attributing the delay on the part of the petitioner.

If that be so, the Court would not be inclined to grant the interest in the exercise of its jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India noticing that there are disputed question of facts, which are required to be established by leading evidence.

The petition is therefore, disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to take remedy available to him under law.

27.02.2015
sonia

**(Mahesh Grover)
Judge**