

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 24199 of 2015
Date of decision: November 19,2015**

Ulfat Begum

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: - Mr. V.B. Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Earlier the husband of the petitioner filed CWP No.10051 of 1992 for grant of pension and other retiral benefits, due to him. During the pendency of that writ petition, the husband of the petitioner died. Subsequently the said writ petition was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 05.03.2012 directing the respondents that the arrears of pension, and gratuity shall be calculated and released within a period of eight weeks with interest @12% from the date of his retirement till the date of payment. Thereafter, some amount was paid to the petitioner.

The petitioner again filed COCP No.3044 of 2012 before this Court stating that the order dated 5.3.2012 passed by this Court has not been complied with alleging therein that the entire payment due has not been made.

In the said contempt petition, additional affidavit of Partap Singh, the then BDPO, Kurukshetra was filed stating that the entire payment alongwith interest, as per detail submitted by the petitioner and beneficiaries, has been made to the legal heirs of the deceased. The contempt petition was disposed of vide order dated 08.07.2013 giving the liberty to the petitioner to agitate her grievance, if any.

The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition with a prayer that the respondents be directed to release the full family pension of the petitioner in accordance with the calculation sheet(Annexure P-4).

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he may be permitted to file a detailed representation to respondent No.2 indicating therein the amount which she claims, is still due to the petitioner and has not been paid by the respondents and the respondents be directed to decide the same.

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 that if such representation is filed by the petitioner within a period of one month from today, the same be considered and disposed of in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably, within a period of three months thereafter.

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

19.11.2015
dinesh