

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27283-2013

Date of Decision: 08.09.2015

Badri Parsad

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Sat Narain Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Binat Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.3 to 6.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has filed copy of report dated 22.06.2015 in vernacular which is taken on record. It is specifically mentioned in report dated 22.06.2015 that encroachment has been removed.

In view of above, instant petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

If the petitioner is still aggrieved, he will be at liberty to file fresh petition.

08.09.2015
parveen kumar

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge