

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 24 of 2015

Date of Decision 06.01.2015

Nirmal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab School Education Board and another

---Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: - Mr.D.S. Rawat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU,J (Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed for seeking directions to the respondent No.2 to step up the pay of the petitioner (Senior General Category Employee, Seniority No. 69) at par with his junior Scheduled Caste category employee, namely Suchha Singh s/o Sh. Mewa Singh (Seniority No. 71) in accordance with the law as laid down by this Court in Civil Writ Petition No. 18307 of 2011 titled as ***“Gurmeet Singh and Another v. Punjab School Education Board and Others”***

Counsel submits that legal notice dated 04.10.2014 (Annexure P3) has been served upon the respondent No.2 for redressal of grievance, but to no avail.

Counsel submits that he would be satisfied if a time bound direction is given to the respondent No.2 to take action on the above said legal notice.

After hearing counsel for the petitioner and in view of the facts summarized above, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served if notice of motion is issued upon the respondents to call upon them to file the reply in view of the limited relief which the petitioner

is seeking.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take into consideration legal notice dated 04.10.2014 (Annexure P3) and pass necessary orders thereon within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case the petitioner is found entitled for his claim, the amount be disbursed to him within a period of one month thereafter. It is made clear that the payment of arrears on account of re-fixation of their salary be restricted to a period of 3 years from the date the legal notice was served by him i.e. 14.10.2014. In case relief is to be denied to the petitioner, a speaking order be passed which shall be communicated to the petitioner within the aforesaid period.

06.01.2015

Atul

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE