

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.24665 of 2014
Date of decision: 11.03.2015

Ishwar Chand

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, directing the respondents to promote the petitioner as Senior Assistant w.e.f. the date his juniors were promoted i.e. vide order dated 06.07.2012 (Annexure P4).

Concededly, petitioner has superannuated on attaining the age of 58 years on 31.05.2012. And the persons, who are purported to be junior to the petitioner, were promoted as Senior Assistant vide order dated 06.07.2012 (Annexure P4) i.e. after the petitioner had already superannuated. Therefore, no cause of action as regards the order dated 06.07.2012, (Annexure P4), had accrued to the petitioner while being in service. Ex facie, the order that is sought to be assailed was passed almost three years ago and

therefore, no case for interference in exercise of power under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is made out.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the position indicated above.

That being so, the petition is misconceived and is accordingly dismissed.

March 11, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge