

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.23733 of 2015

Date of decision: 05.11.2015

Mohinder Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab and Haryana High Court and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J, (Oral)

The petitioner seeks the relief of benefits of completion 4-9-14 years of service along with all consequential benefits.

It is the case of the petitioner that he was appointed on 28.11.1991 in the office of Senior Sub Judge, Patiala on temporary basis against the leave vacancy of a Process Server. Thereafter, he was appointed as Peon on 9.9.1992 (Annexure P/3) and relieved from his duties on 28.11.1994 and permitted to join as Process Server by the District and Sessions Judge, Patiala. The General Provident Fund Account number was also allotted on 8.12.1995 by this Court and on completion of 8 years of service, his pay was refixed at next higher stage on 11.1.2003 (Annexure P/7). It is further case of the petitioner that he was not being given the benefits on completion 9 and 14 years of service from the date of his entitlement.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that representation dated 1.8.2013 (Annexure P/9) which the petitioner has already submitted for necessary relief is pending before respondent no.2-the District and Sessions Judge, Patiala and he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent no.2 to consider and decide the said representation within a specified period.

Without going into merits of the case and without commenting upon the entitlement of the petitioner and keeping in view the limited relief sought for, the present petition is disposed off without calling the respondents to file their reply.

Accordingly, respondent no.2 is directed to take a decision on the representation dated 1.8.2013 (Annexure P/9) within a period of three

months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Needless to say that in case the relief is to be denied to the petitioner then reasoned order be passed by the said respondent.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 05, 2015

Pka

(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)

Judge