

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.23730 of 2015
Date of decision:05.11.2015

Anil Kumar

... Petitioner

versus

Chandigarh Housing Board & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Ms. Promila Nain, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE.

The petition is pre-mature.

2. The petitioner is aggrieved by a communication dated 05.08.2015 by stating that her request for allotment of a house is not valid and rejecting the same. The respondents have not furnished any reasons for this conclusion and petitioner's application is not valid.

3. The communication also states that the respondents are dealing with other objections separately on the file and a decision would be taken accordingly. By a letter dated 22.07.2015, the petitioner had also indicated the reasons by contending that an allotment to another person was not valid. The person concerned is not impleaded in this petition. However, the respondents have agreed to communicate the decision. They shall do so as expeditiously as possible.

4. This order ought not to be construed as creating any right in favour of the petitioner. The only purpose of this order is to ensure that the respondents respond to the petitioner's representation. The petitioner is always at liberty to challenge the decision, if necessary.

5. The Writ Petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

05.11.2015

harjeet