

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 23536 of 2015
Date of decision: November 04,2015**

Maya Devi
Versus ...Petitioner

The Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. Shakti Bhawan,
Panchkula and ors.
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: - Mr. K.L. Dhingra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The petitioner is widow of late Shri Joginder Singh, who was working as Assistant Lineman(Group III Post) with the respondent No.1-Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited. The husband of the petitioner died on 2.7.2005 while in service.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the service period of her husband from 7.11.1979 to 9.7.1990, which was continuous followed by regularization has not been counted as qualifying service for pension and other retiral benefits. The petitioner has represented to the respondents regarding the same but no action has been taken thereon. In support of her claim, the petitioner has relied on decisions **S.K. Mastan Bee vs. The General Manager, South Central Railway and anr., 2003(1) Apex Court Judgments 92 (SC), Bhakra Beas Management Board and others vs. Hari Chand 2012(4) RSJ 174 and State of Punjab and others vs. Mukhtiar Singh 2012(4) RSJ 164.**

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider the claim of the petitioner as contained in representations dated 8.4.2014 and 18.5.2015 (Annexures P-3 and P-4) in the light of the judgments referred to above.

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the claim of the petitioner as contained in representations (Annexure P-3 and P-4) in the light of the judgments referred to above, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

4.11.2015
dinesh