

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.1180 of 2011 (O&M)
Date of decision : 10.08.2015

Indusind Bank Ltd.

...Appellant

Versus

Mahesh Lal and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate for the appellant.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that during the interregnum, since the Arbitrator had been appointed, remedy if any to seek interim order would lie before the Arbitrator, therefore, proceedings initiated under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 are rendered infructuous.

In view of what has been observed above, present appeal is rendered infructuous.

10.08.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**