

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

R.F.A. No. 2226 of 2009 (O&M)

Date of decision : 1.9.2015

Kusum

.... Appellant

vs

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

RAJESH BINDAL, J

The landowner is in appeal seeking enhancement of compensation for the acquired land.

Briefly, the facts are that land situated in the revenue estates of villages Shahpur Hadbast No. 125, and Nanhera, Hadbast No. 104, Tehsil and District Ambala was sought to be acquired by the State of Haryana vide notification dated 8.2.1989 issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act') for development and utilization thereof as residential, commercial and industrial area for Sectors-10 and 11, Ambala Cantt. Notification under Section 6 of the Act was issued on 7.2.1990. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector') vide award dated 23.1.1992 determined the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 75,000/- per acre. Aggrieved against the award of the Collector, the land owner filed objections which were referred to the learned court below, who keeping in view the material placed on record by the parties, assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 2,50,000/- per acre. Aggrieved against the award of learned Court below, the landowner is in appeal before this Court.

No one has appeared for the landowner at the time of hearing.

Learned counsel for the State could not dispute the fact that the issue raised in the present appeal is squarely covered by judgment of this court in RFA No. 2060 of 1999- Sant Singh (deceased) through his LRs vs

The State of Haryana decided on 18.9.2012, whereby the compensation payable to the landowners for the land acquired vide same notification was further enhanced.

Accordingly, for the reasons recorded in *Sant Singh (deceased)'s* case (supra), the present appeal is disposed of in the same terms.

As no one has appeared for the landowner, a copy of the order be sent to the landowner for information.

1.9.2015
vs.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge