

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

109

**Civil Writ Petition No.24060 of 2014
Date of Decision:06.04.2015**

Fakar Din

....petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.R.S.Manhas, Advocate
for the petitioner

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Petitioner claims himself to be Ranjit Sagar Dam Project, oustee. A writ in the nature of certiorari is prayed for, to quash the order dated 04.08.2014 (Annexure P3), vide which his claim for appointment under the Rehabilitation and Re-settlement Scheme dated 18.11.1993, has been rejected.

The order being assailed, dated 04.08.2014 (Annexure P3), reveals that the verification report submitted by the Land Acquisition Officer, Ranjit Sagar Dam Project, showed that 100% land of Shaiha Din was acquired for the project and, the petitioner fulfilled the conditions for employment. But, since one member of the family namely Sharraf Din son of Shahia Din i.e.brother of the petitioner had already been afforded employment as Class-IV employee from the same khata, claim of the petitioner was rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner maintains that the reason assigned in the order dated 04.08.2014 (Annexure P3) is erroneous as Sharraf Din, who is purportedly the brother of the

petitioner is not even distantly related to him. In fact, Sharraf Din is son of Sain Din. It is asserted that the land verification report submitted by the Land Acquisition Officer is wrong. And, vide a detailed representation dated 17.09.2014 (Annexure P4), made to respondent No.2, the authorities have been apprised of this position, with a prayer to re-consider the claim of the petitioner. But to no avail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, at this stage, let this petition be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 17.09.2014 (Annexure P4), made by the petitioner, within a specified time and by passing a speaking order.

That being so, and, without expressing any opinion on merits, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner as set out in the representation dated 17.09.2014 (Annexure P4), strictly, in accordance with law, within a period of two months, from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed assigning reasons, in support of the decision arrived at.

06.04.2015
neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE