

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.23322 of 2015

Date of Decision : 2.11.2015

Chaman Lal and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....

Present: Mr.Karamveer Singh Banyana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MAHESH GROVER.J.

The petitioners pray that they also be granted current duty charge as has been granted to their juniors.

It is a settled proposition of law that current duty charge is not a promotion and, therefore, the claim of the petitioners cannot be enforced through the writ petition.

However, since the petitioners have made a representation to the respondents, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners as prayed for in this petition.

The impediment in the way of the court to exercise its jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India would not be construed to be any comment on the merits of the claim of the petitioners which shall be considered independently de hors the observations made regarding enforcement of a right through a writ court within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

2.11.2015
dss

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE