

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.23276 of 2015
Date of decision:2.11 2015**

Gurdeep Singh Lineman ... Petitioner

Versus

Sachin Kumar & ors. ... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. P.L. Chauhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

No ground is made out to interfere in the order dated 3.9.2015 passed by the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hisar, declining the application under Section 33C(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The Labour Court after appreciating the evidence on record has returned a finding of fact that the petitioner did not work for the period from 1.9.2013 to 24.2.2014. The only issue involved in the case is a prayer for salary for the aforesaid period. It is well settled that the writ Court in orders or awards of the Tribunals will not interfere with the findings of fact, however, erroneous they are in view of the decisions of the Supreme Court in **Syed Yakoob v. K. S. Radhakrishnan**, AIR 1964 SC 477 and **Satyanarayan Laxminarayan Hegde v. Mallikarjun Bhavanappa Tirumale**, AIR 1960 SC 137=(1960) 1 SCR 890.

2.11.2015
monika

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

