

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

101

**Civil Writ Petition No.23929 of 2014
Date of Decision:27.04.2015**

Sukhwinder Kaurpetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersrespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Kuldeep V.Singh Ahluwalia, Advocate
for the petitioner

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, so as to direct the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Supervisor in sports category. Further, a direction is sought to the respondents to rectify the period of experience of the petitioner, in terms of letter No.340 dated 20.01.2014 (Annexure P1) issued by respondent No.4 to respondent No.2.

The petitioner joined the respondent-Department on 17.10.1983 as Anganwari worker. There were 352 vacancies of Supervisor in the Department that had to be filled up from amongst Anganwari workers and to fill up those vacancies, a merit list was prepared by the State of Punjab. However, while finalising the merit list, the period of experience of the petitioner was wrongly counted. In fact, petitioner had served the Department for 29 years, 06 months and 10 days and yet awarded 8 marks, whereas she was entitled to 21 marks and her total score comes to 26.58%. And that being so,

even Child Development Project Officer, Junir, (Mansa), vide letter dated 20.01.2014 (Annexure P1), wrote to respondent No.2 to rectify the mistake. So much so, prior to the institution of this petition, petitioner also represented to respondent No.2, vide representation dated 22.08.2014 (Annexure P2), but with no tangible result.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, at this stage, let this petition be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner, within a specified time.

That being so, and, without expressing any opinion on merits, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner as set out in her representation dated 22.08.2014 (Annexure P2), strictly, in accordance with law, within a period of three months, from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed assigning reasons, in support of the decision arrived at.

27.04.2015

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE