

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 23849 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.08.2015

Ghanshyam Dass

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

--Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present:- Mr. Arun Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

S.J. VAZIFDAR, A.C.J. (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the order of the revisional authority dismissing his appeal only on the ground that he had filed the same beyond the period of 30 days of the order passed by the Allotment Committee. There is a delay of about 11 months.

The petitioner alleges that there were certain domestic problems as a result whereof he was unable to file the proceedings within time. There is a formal denial of this. However, considering the nature of the valuable rights, we are inclined to accept the petitioner's statement that he was experiencing certain domestic difficulties. There was no reason for the petitioner not to file the application in time. There is a serious issue to be tried.

In view of the above circumstances, the impugned order is set aside. The petitioner's application shall be heard on merits.

Disposed of.

**(S.J. Vazifdar)
Acting Chief Justice**

**(Tejinder Singh Dhindsa)
Judge**

13.08.2015
lucky