

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

**CWP No. 23820 of 2014
Date of decision April 7, 2015**

B. K. Gupta and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A MIT RAWAL

**Present:- Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.**

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J (ORAL).

Learned counsel for the petitioners confines his prayer in the present writ petition for appropriate directions to respondent No. 1 to decide the legal notice (Annexure P-2).

The present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.1 to consider the legal notice (Annexure P-2) and take appropriate decision in accordance with law preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted then consequential benefits, if any, be given to the petitioner within a further period of two months. In case the respondents do not grant

any relief then a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

April 7, 2015
archana