

Civil Writ Petition No. 23068 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 30.10.2015

Anita Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILLPresent: Mr. S.K. Rattan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

LISA GILL, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner had given her option to continue under the existing Contributory Provident Fund benefit in the year 1992, albeit due to an inadvertent mistake, she is entitled to change her option in view of the notification dated 01.08.2012 (Annexure P-6). Institution, in which she is working, has no objection to the same. Representation dated 30.05.2015 (Annexure P-7) as well as reminder dated 30.09.2015 (Annexure P-9) have been submitted to the respondents but to no avail. It is prayed that competent authority be directed to decide said legal notice in a time bound manner.

Keeping in view the limited prayer addressed at the time of arguments, the facts and circumstances of the case but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the controversy, it is considered expedient to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the competent authority to expeditiously decide representation dated

30.05.2015 (Annexure P-7) submitted by the petitioner by passing a speaking order, preferably within a period of four months from receipt of certified copy of this order.

Writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

October 30, 2015
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge