

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1. CWP No.26097 of 2013
Date of decision: 20.07.2015

Ashok Kumar ... Petitioner

Versus
State of Punjab and others ... Respondents
2. CWP No.27030 of 2013

Ved Parkash ... Petitioner

Versus
State of Punjab and others ... Respondents
3. CWP No.26854 of 2013

Gurcharan Singh ... Petitioner

Versus
State of Punjab and others ... Respondents
4. CWP No.27041 of 2013

Balwinder Kumar ... Petitioner

Versus
State of Punjab and others ... Respondents
5. CWP No.27042 of 2013

Om Parkash ... Petitioner

Versus
State of Punjab and others ... Respondents

6.

CWP No.26865 of 2013

Bhushan Lal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. PS Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as a consequence to the institution of these petitions, the department had ordered reversion of certain employees from the post of Junior Engineer (Civil). Since the orders of reversion were assailed vide separate writ petitions by those employees in this court, during the course of hearing in those cases, on a consensus reached by the parties to the lis, the matter has since been disposed of on 15.07.2015. And petitioners in those cases have been afforded liberty to submit objections as regards their reversion and further an opportunity of personal hearing to be granted to them. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let these petitions be also disposed of with a liberty, even to the petitioners to submit their objections as regards the promotions that were originally afforded to those employees as

Junior Engineer (Civil). The petitioners shall also submit their respective claims as regards consideration for promotion to the said post i.e. JE(Civil). And they too be heard before any orders are passed, pursuant to the directions issued by this court on 15.07.2015 in Civil Writ Petition No.12608 of 2015 and other connected cases.

Prayer is not opposed by the learned State counsel, as he submits that even these petitions be also disposed of with similar directions to the respondents.

That being so, these petitions are disposed of in the following terms:-

- i) The petitioners shall submit their precise objections, as regards the promotions that were afforded to certain employees in the department as Junior Engineers (Civil) as also their claims for consideration for promotion to the said post, within two weeks from the date of this order and not thereafter;
- ii) Within a further period of two weeks post receipt of objections/claims, submitted by the petitioners, the department shall notify the dates, vide individual notices to the petitioners, in each of the category, as regards an opportunity of personal hearing;

iii) Process of personal hearing shall be concluded within a further period of two weeks thereafter;

iv) And once that process is over, the department shall pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. Needless to assert, comprehensive order shall be passed deciding each of the issue raised and assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

And in the event, claims of the petitioners are accepted and they are found entitled to be considered for promotion, appropriate orders shall be passed in accordance with law.

Accordingly, petitions are disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

July 20, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge