

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No. 9451 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of decision : July 28, 2015

Radhey Lal & others,

..... Petitioners

v.

Union of India and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate
for the respondents.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

Even on second call, no one has entered appearance on behalf of the petitioners.

Counsel for the respondents states that the category of the petitioners i.e paramedical cadre has now been given promotional avenue and that some of the petitioners have even been promoted and that this writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

As mentioned above, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioners probably because of the fact that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous. In the circumstances, this writ petition is

disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with, however, liberty to the petitioners to revive the same in case any grievance remains unredressed.

July 28, 2015
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE