

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

C.W.P. No. 22604 of 2015

Date of Decision: October 20, 2015

Bahadur Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Superintending Canal Officer, Patiala Circle IB, Patiala and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. Vijay Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing order dated 08.10.2015 (Annexure P/6) passed by Superintending Canal Officer, Patiala Circle IB, Patiala - respondent no.1, whereby stay application has been declined on the ground that as per warabandi, water course AB is sanctioned and restraining the respondents from implementing order dated 26.08.2015 during the pendency of appeal pending before respondent no.1.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that direction may be issued to respondent no.1 – Superintending Canal Officer to

decide the appeal filed by the petitioner expeditiously as by delay in

decision parties will be adversely affected.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and perusing the paper book, instant writ petition is disposed of, without issuing notice to the respondents, with a direction to respondent no.1 – Superintending Canal Officer to decide the appeal filed by the petitioners (Annexure P/4) within one month from today or the date of completion of service, if already not complete. The petitioners will be at liberty to move an application before the Superintending Canal Officer to issue dasti summons for effecting service upon the respondent, if service is not already complete.

October 20, 2015
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh]
Judge