

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22587 of 2015

Date of Decision: 20.10.2015

Uttam Chand & ors.	Versus	... Petitioners
State of Punjab & ors.		... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Natasha Munjal, Advocate, for
Mr. MK Dogra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

This petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation dated 28.8.20014 [P-11] in the light of the judgment of the Supreme Court passed in Civil Appeal No.6540 of 2014 decided on 16.7.2014, the text of which is placed on record as Annexure P-10. Respondent No.2 would hear the petitioners and pass a speaking order within one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

This order is passed in the light of the order passed by this Court in similar circumstances in CWP No.25742 of 2014; Raj Kumar v. State of Punjab and others decided on 16.12.2014.

In case the claim is found legal and justified, the monetary benefits flowing therefrom be handed over to the petitioners within eight weeks thereafter.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

20.10.2015
monika