

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2258 of 2015

Date of Decision.11.02.2015

Manju Devi	Versus	Petitioner
State of Haryana and others		Respondents

Present: Mr. Susheel Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Notice of motion. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Keshav Gupta, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioner shall supply three copies of complete paper book to the counsel appearing for the respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he will be satisfied if the legal notice Annexure P-6 is decided by respondent No.2 within particular time. The 2nd respondent is directed to consider the legal notice given by the petitioner under Annexure P-6 and the decision taken shall be informed to the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. If there is any delay in making the claim, the same be condoned and if the petitioner is found entitled to the amount, the amount be released to the petitioner within a period of two weeks from then on.
3. The writ petition is disposed of with the above directions.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

February 11, 2015

Pankaj*