

Sr. No. 118
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CWP No.22559 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision:11.12.2015

Subhash Chander Chopra and another
... Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and another
... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

- 1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

After arguing the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioners prays that he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to pursue his administrative remedies.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty. In case, the respondents deem it fit to decide the representation, then it will be as per law including examining the question of delay and laches in order to grant relief. In doing so, the administration will remain guided by the decision of the Supreme Court in cases ***State of Uttar Pradesh and others Vs. Arvind Kumar Srivastava and others 2015 (1) SCC 347 and State of Uttaranchal and another Vs. Sri Shiv Charan Singh Bhandari and others 2013 (12) SCC 179.***

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

11.12.2015
vandana