

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 22479 of 2015
Date of decision: 19.10.2015

Eric Sandhu

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Central Board of Secondary Education and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Amaninder Preet, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed for correction of the mother's name of the petitioner in the certificate dated 05.09.2015 (Annexure P-6) wherein, the name has been mentioned as 'Brishpal Kuar Sandhu' instead of 'Brishpal Kaur Sandhu'.

It is the case of the petitioner that there was an error in the secondary school examination certificate issued on 24.05.2012 since the father's name had not been correctly incorporated. The petitioner's representation had been forwarded by Delhi International School, Faridkot on 22.04.2014 (Annexure P-2) asking for the necessary correction. The Board corrected the certificate to the extent of the mistake in the name of the father whereby the word "Singh" was incorporated and a certificate was issued on 05.09.2015. However, the mistake in the mother's name continued. The school of the petitioner again sent communication dated 16.06.2015 (Annexure P-5) for the necessary relief but no action has been taken on the same. It is further submitted that even the +2 certificate issued

at a subsequent point of time on 28.05.2015 (Annexure P-7) mentions the correct spellings.

Counsel submits that he would be satisfied at this stage if a direction is issued to the respondents to take a decision on communication dated 16.06.2015 (Annexure P-5) within a time bound frame.

Accordingly, keeping in view the limited relief sought, this Court does not feel necessary to call upon the respondents to file reply.

Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to take into consideration the communication dated 16.06.2015 (Annexure P-5) and decide the same within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order keeping in mind the above observations. Needless to say that in case the relief is to be denied, the order should contain reasons.

19.10.2015
shivani

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE