

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.23173 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.03.2015**

Parkash Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Rohan Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

C.M.No.762 of 2015

The application is allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Documents Annexures P-8 and P-9 are taken on record.

CWP No.23173 of 2014 (O&M)

The petitioner has approached this Court by invoking extra ordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for directing the respondents to decide the appeal dated 31.07.2014 (Annexure P-6), which is stated to have been filed. It has been stated that despite the pendency of the appeal, respondent No.2 has not adjudicated the grievance of the petitioner, much less,

decide the same and during interregnum DPC has been held and the persons junior to the petitioner have been promoted

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the appeal dated 31.7.2014 at Annexure P-6, within a reasonable time.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and am of the view that the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is fair and justified. Accordingly, respondent No.2 is directed to decide appeal dated 31.7.2014 (Annexure P-6), which is stated to have been filed and pending adjudication within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

In case, respondent No.2 decides the appeal, after consideration of the matter, contemplates, to grant all consequential benefits to petitioner, such benefit be given in one month's time and in case, the decision is otherwise, respondent No.2 shall pass a speaking order and communicate the same to the petitioner.

The writ petition is disposed of in terms of aforementioned directions.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

March 10, 2015
savita